

OPTIMAL QUADRATURE FORMULAS WITH POSITIVE COEFFICIENTS IN $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ SPACE

Kh.M.Shadimetov, A.R.Hayotov

Abstract

In the Sobolev space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ optimal quadrature formulas with the nodes (1.5) are investigated. For optimal coefficients explicit form are obtained and norm of the error functional is calculated. In particular, by choosing parameter η_0 in (1.5) the optimal quadrature formulas with positive coefficients are obtained and compared with well known optimal formulas.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65D32, 65D32

Key words and phrases: *Sobolev space, optimal quadrature formula, positive coefficients, error functional.*

1 Introduction. Statement of the problem

It is known, that numerical integration formulae, or quadrature formulae, are methods for the approximate evaluation of definite integrals. They are needed for the computation of those integrals for which either the antiderivative of the integrand cannot be expressed in terms of elementary functions or for which the integrand is available only at discrete points, for example from experimental data. In addition and even more important, quadrature formulae provide a basic and important tool for the numerical solution of differential and integral equations.

There are various methods in the theory of quadrature, which allow us approximately calculate integrals with the help of finite number of values of integrand. The present paper also is devoted to one of such methods, i.e. to construction of optimal quadrature formulas for approximate evaluation of definite integrals in the space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|\varphi(x)\|_{L_2^{(m)}(0,1)} = \left\{ \int_0^1 (\varphi^{(m)}(x))^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Consider a quadrature formula

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx \cong \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \varphi(x_\beta) \quad (1.1)$$

with the error functional

$$\ell(x) = \varepsilon_{[0,1]}(x) - \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \delta(x - x_\beta) \quad (1.2)$$

in the Sobolev space $L_2^{(m)}(0,1)$. Here C_β and x_β are the coefficients and the nodes of the quadrature formula (1.1), respectively, $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac's delta-function, $\varepsilon_{[0,1]}(x)$ is the indicator of the interval $[0,1]$.

In order that the error functional (1.2) is defined on the space $L_2^{(m)}(0,1)$ it is necessary performing following conditions (see [28])

$$(\ell(x), x^\alpha) = 0, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1. \quad (1.3)$$

The difference

$$(\ell(x), \varphi(x)) = \int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx - \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \varphi(x_\beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell(x) \varphi(x) dx. \quad (1.4)$$

is called by *the error* of the quadrature formula (1.1).

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$|(\ell(x), \varphi(x))| \leq \|\varphi(x)\|_{L_2^{(m)}(0,1)} \cdot \|\ell(x)\|_{L_2^{(m)*}(0,1)}$$

the error (1.4) of the formula (1.1) is estimated with the help of norm

$$\left\| \ell(x) \right\|_{L_2^{(m)*}(0,1)} = \sup_{\|\varphi(x)\|_{L_2^{(m)}(0,1)}=1} |(\ell(x), \varphi(x))|.$$

of the error functional (1.2). Consequently, estimation of the error (1.4) of the quadrature formula (1.1) on functions of the space $L_2^{(m)}(0,1)$ is reduced to finding of norm of the error functional $\ell(x)$ in the conjugate space $L_2^{(m)*}(0,1)$.

Clearly, that norm of the error functional $\ell(x)$ depends on the coefficients C_β and the nodes x_β . The problem of finding of minimum of norm of the error functional $\ell(x)$ by coefficients C_β

and by nodes x_β , is called by *S.M.Nikolskii problem*, and obtained formula is called *optimal quadrature formula in the sense of Nikolskii*. This problem first considered by S.M.Nikolskii [15], and continued by many authors, see e.g. [2-4,16,32] and references therein. Minimization of norm of the error functional $\ell(x)$ by coefficients C_β when the nodes are fixed is called *Sard's problem*. And obtained formula is called *optimal quadrature formula in the sense of Sard*. First this problem investigated by A.Sard [17].

There are several methods of construction of optimal quadrature formulas in the sense of Sard such as spline method, φ -function method (see e.g. [23], [2]) and Sobolev's method which based on construction of discrete analogue of a linear differential operator (see e.g. [26]). In the different spaces, based on these methods, the Sard's problem investigated by many authors, see, for example, [1,2,4,6,7,10-14,19-26,28-31] and references therein.

Furthermore, in works [11,19,21,31] were obtained explicit formulas for coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas for any m and for any number N of the nodes x_β in the space $L_2^{(m)}$.

By I.J.Schoenberg and S.D.Silliman [25] investigated the Sard's problem for the case $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the space $L_2^{(m)}$. In [25] an algorithm for finding of optimal coefficients was given with the help of spline of degree $2m - 1$. In the cases $m = 2, 3, \dots, 7$ the coefficients are calculated using a Computer. There was especially noted, that when $m = 7$ among optimal coefficients the negative coefficient $B_4^{(7)}$ appears. It appears that by increasing m the number of negative coefficients increases. This is confirmed by computations of the optimal coefficients for $m \leq 30$ in [30].

But, as known that in applications the optimal quadrature formulas with positive coefficients play very important role.

Naturally, it is arises a question: can we obtain with some way the optimal quadrature formulas with positive coefficients in the Sobolev space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$?

The main objective of the present paper is, using the Sobolev's method, to construct of optimal quadrature formulas in the sense of Sard in the space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ with the nodes

$$x_i = \eta_i h, \quad x_{N-i} = 1 - \eta_i h, \quad i = \overline{0, t-1}, \quad 0 \leq \eta_0 < \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_{t-1},$$

$$x_\beta = h\beta, \quad t \leq \beta \leq N - t, \quad h = \frac{1}{N}, \quad t = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{2} & \text{when } m \text{ is even,} \\ \left[\frac{m}{2}\right] + 1 & \text{when } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $[a]$ is integer part of the number a and choosing the parameters η_i , $i = \overline{0, t-1}$ to obtain the optimal quadrature formulas of the form (1.1) with positive coefficients. This means to find the coefficients C_β which satisfy following equality

$$\left\| \overset{\circ}{\ell}(x) | L_2^{(m)*} \right\| = \inf_{C_\beta} \left\| \ell(x) | L_2^{(m)*} \right\| \quad (1.6)$$

for the nodes (1.5).

Thus, in order to construct of optimal quadrature formula in the sense of Sard with nodes (1.5) in the space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ we need consequently to solve following problems.

Problem 1. Find norm of the error functional $\ell(x)$ of quadrature formulas of the form (1.1) in the space $L_2^{(m)*}(0, 1)$.

Problem 2. Find the coefficients C_β which satisfy the equality (1.6) with nodes (1.5).

It is known [26,28,29], that square of the norm of the error functional (1.2) for arbitrary fixed x_β has following form

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \ell(x) | L_2^{(m)*}(0, 1) \right\|^2 &= (-1)^m \left(\sum_{\beta=0}^N \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\beta C_\gamma \frac{|x_\beta - x_\gamma|^{2m-1}}{2 \cdot (2m-1)!} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \frac{x_\beta^{2m} + (1 - x_\beta)^{2m}}{2 \cdot (2m)!} + \frac{1}{(2m+1)!} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Obviously, that the square of the norm (1.7) of the error functional $\ell(x)$ is multidimensional function with respect to the coefficients C_β . Moreover, the error functional $\ell(x)$ satisfies the conditions (1.3). In [28,29] taking into account these facts the Lagrange function with conditions (1.3) is constructed for finding *condition minimum* of the (1.7) and differentiating that function by C_β and by λ_α (where λ_α are Lagrange factors) following system of linear equations was obtained

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \frac{|x_\beta - x_\gamma|^{2m-1}}{2 \cdot (2m-1)!} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} \lambda_\alpha x_\beta^\alpha = \frac{x_\beta^{2m} + (1 - x_\beta)^{2m}}{2 \cdot (2m)!}, \quad x_\beta \in [0, 1], \quad (1.8)$$

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma x_\gamma^\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}, \quad \alpha = \overline{0, m-1}. \quad (1.9)$$

It was proved in [28,29] that this system has unique solution and this solution gives minimum to the expression (1.7). This means that square of norm of the error functional $\ell(x)$ being quadratic function of the coefficients C_β has unique minimum in concrete value of $C_\beta = \overset{\circ}{C}_\beta$. The quadrature formula with the coefficients $\overset{\circ}{C}_\beta$ when the nodes are fixed is called *optimal quadrature formula in the sense of Sard* and the coefficients $\overset{\circ}{C}_\beta$ are called *optimal one*.

Below for convenience the optimal coefficients $\overset{\circ}{C}_\beta$ we shall remain as C_β .

Thus, the problem 1 is already solved by S.L.Sobolev in the space $L_2^{(m)}$ and the problem 2 is reduced to the system of linear equations for optimal coefficients. Should be noted, that in [28,29] the problem 1 solved for multidimensional case, i.e. for cubature formulas.

In the present paper we will solve the system (1.8)-(1.9), i.e. we will solve the problem 2.

2 Definitions and known formulas

In this section we give some definitions and formulas which are necessary in the proof of the main results.

The *Euler-Frobenius polynomials* $E_k(x)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ are defined by following formula (see, e.g. [26])

$$E_k(x) = \frac{(1-x)^{k+2}}{x} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^k \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$E_0(x) = 1.$$

For Euler-Frobenius polynomials following identity holds

$$E_k(x) = x^k E_k \left(\frac{1}{x} \right), \quad (2.2)$$

and also following theorem is take placed

Theorem 2.1 [20]. *Polynomial $P_k(x)$ which is determined by formula*

$$P_k(x) = (x-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{\Delta^i 0^{k+1}}{(x-1)^i} \quad (2.3)$$

is the Euler-Frobenius polynomial (2.1) of degree k , i.e. $P_k(x) = E_k(x)$.

Following formula is valid [9]:

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^{n-1} q^\gamma \gamma^k = \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{i=0}^k \left(\frac{q}{1-q} \right)^i \Delta^i 0^k - \frac{q^n}{1-q} \sum_{i=0}^k \left(\frac{q}{1-q} \right)^i \Delta^i \gamma^k|_{\gamma=n}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\Delta^i \gamma^k$ is finite difference of order i of γ^k , $\Delta^i 0^k = \Delta^i \gamma^k|_{\gamma=0}$.

At last we give following well known formulas from [8]

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} \gamma^k = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{k! B_{k+1-j}}{j! (k+1-j)!} \beta^j, \quad (2.5)$$

where B_{k+1-j} are Bernoulli numbers,

$$\Delta^\alpha x^\nu = \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \binom{\nu}{p} \Delta^\alpha 0^p x^{\nu-p}. \quad (2.6)$$

3 Auxiliary results

3.1 Lemmas

In the proofs of the main results we need following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. [20] *The Optimal coefficients of quadrature formulas of the form (1.1) with the error functional (1.2) and the nodes (1.5) in space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ have following form*

$$C_\beta = h \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta} \right) \right) \quad (3.1)$$

when $\beta = t, t+1, \dots, N-t$. Here d_k are unknown parameters, q_k are roots of the Euler-Frobenius polynomial $E_{2m-2}(q)$, which $|q_k| < 1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Following identity holds*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{-q_k^{N-s+1} + (-1)^i q_k^{s+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^\alpha = (-1)^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{q_k^{s+1} + (-1)^{i+1} q_k^{N-s+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^\alpha, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, $s = 0, 1, \dots$, q_k are roots of the Euler-Frobenius polynomial $E_{2m-2}(q)$.

Lemma 3.3. *The coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas of the form (1.1) with the error functional (1.2) and the nodes (1.5) in the space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ satisfy following system*

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta \eta_\beta^\alpha = h \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^\alpha + \frac{0^\alpha}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^i q_k^{t+i} - q_k^{N-t+1}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i t^\alpha \right) \quad (3.3)$$

here $\alpha = \begin{cases} 0, 2, 4, \dots, m-2 & \text{when } m \text{ is even,} \\ 0, 2, 4, \dots, m-1 & \text{when } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$ $0^\alpha = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha = 0, \\ 0, & \alpha \neq 0, \end{cases}$
 $t = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{2} & \text{when } m \text{ is even,} \\ \left[\frac{m}{2}\right] + 1 & \text{when } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$ where $[a]$ is integer part of the number a , d_k are unknown parameters, q_k are roots of the Euler-Frobenius polynomial $E_{2m-2}(q)$, $|q_k| < 1$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $m > n$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ then following formula for binomial coefficients is true

$$C_m^{m-1} C_{m-1}^n - C_m^{m-2} C_{m-2}^n + \dots + (-1)^{m-n-2} C_m^{m+1} C_{n+1}^n + (-1)^{m-n-1} C_m^n C_n^n = C_m^n. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.5. [28] Following identity is true

$$y^k = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{y^{[k]}}{i!} \Delta^i 0^k, \quad (3.5)$$

where $y^{[k]} = y(y-1)(y-2)\dots(y-k+1)$, $\Delta^i 0^k = \sum_{l=1}^i (-1)^{i-l} C_i^l l^k$.

3.2 Proofs of lemmas

Lemma 3.1 is proved in [20].

Proof of lemma 3.2. We will denote the left and the right hand sides of the identity (3.2) by A_1 and A_2 , respectively, i.e.

$$A_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{-q_k^{N-s+1} + (-1)^i q_k^{s+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^\alpha, \quad A_2 = (-1)^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{q_k^{s+1} + (-1)^{i+1} q_k^{N-s+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^\alpha.$$

Then, using theorem 2.1 and the identity (2.2), for A_1 we obtain

$$A_1 = \frac{-q_k^{N-s+1} + (-1)^\alpha q_k^{s+1}}{(q_k - 1)^{\alpha+1}} E_{\alpha-1}(q_k). \quad (3.6)$$

For A_2 also, using theorem 2.1 and the identity (2.2) we have

$$A_2 = \frac{-q_k^{N-s+1} + (-1)^\alpha q_k^{s+1}}{(q_k - 1)^{\alpha+1}} E_{\alpha-1}(q_k). \quad (3.7)$$

From equalities (3.6) and (3.7) we will obtain the statement of lemma 3.2. Lemma 3.2 is proved.

Proof of lemma 3.3. Here we will use lemma 3.1 and the equality (1.9). The optimal coefficients C_β and the nodes x_β have to satisfy the equality (1.9), i.e.

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta x_\beta^\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1. \quad (3.8)$$

From symmetry of the nodes (1.5) follows that

$$C_\beta = C_{N-\beta}. \quad (3.9)$$

Here the case $\alpha = 0$ we consider separately.

Let $\alpha = 0$ then from (3.8) we have

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta = 1. \quad (3.10)$$

Taking into account (3.9) and using lemma 3.1 for the left side of (3.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta &= 2 \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta + \sum_{\beta=t}^{N-t} h \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k (q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta}) \right) = \\ &= 2 \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta - h \left(2t - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \frac{q_k^t - q_k^{N-t+1}}{1 - q_k} \right) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking into account (3.10), we obtain

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta = h \left(\frac{2t-1}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \frac{q_k^t - q_k^{N-t+1}}{1 - q_k} \right). \quad (3.11)$$

This is the first equation of the system (3.3) corresponding to the case $\alpha = 0$. Thus we have proved the lemma for the case $\alpha = 0$.

Let, now, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. Then, using symmetry of the nodes (1.5) and keeping in mind the equality (3.9), for the left side of (3.8) we obtain

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta x_\beta^\alpha = \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta (x_\beta^\alpha + (1 - x_\beta)^\alpha) + \sum_{\beta=t}^{N-t} C_\beta x_\beta^\alpha = Y_1 + Y_2,$$

where

$$Y_1 = \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta (x_\beta^\alpha + (1 - x_\beta)^\alpha), \quad Y_2 = \sum_{\beta=t}^{N-t} C_\beta x_\beta^\alpha.$$

Substituting the expression (3.1) of the optimal coefficients C_β into Y_2 , adding and subtracting the expressions

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} h \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta} \right) \right) (h\beta)^\alpha$$

and

$$\sum_{\beta=N-t+1}^{N-1} h \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta} \right) \right) (h\beta)^\alpha,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2 = & h^{\alpha+1} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{N-1} \beta^\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{N-1} q_k^\beta \beta^\alpha + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N-1} q_k^{N-\beta} \beta^\alpha \right) \right) - \\ & - h \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} ((h\beta)^\alpha + (1-h\beta)^\alpha) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using equalities (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and binomial formula, then grouping in powers of h and taking into account lemma 3.2, for Y_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2 = & h^{\alpha+1} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1-q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^\alpha - \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^\alpha \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta} \right) \right) \right] (1+(-1)^\alpha) + \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\alpha! h^{j+1}}{j!(\alpha-j)!} \left[\frac{B_{j+1}}{j+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1-q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j + \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^j \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta} \right) \right) \right] - \\ & - h \left(\frac{2t-1}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \frac{q_k^t - q_k^{N-t+1}}{1-q_k} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider Y_1 . Taking into account that when $\beta = \overline{0, t-1}$ then from (1.5) the nodes $x_\beta = \eta_\beta h$ and applying binomial formula, after that, grouping in powers of h , for Y_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 = & \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta (x_\beta^\alpha + (1-x_\beta)^\alpha) = \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta \left((\eta_\beta h)^\alpha + \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \frac{\alpha! (-\eta_\beta h)^j}{j!(\alpha-j)!} \right) = \\ = & h^{\alpha+1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} h^{-1} C_\beta \eta_\beta^\alpha (1+(-1)^\alpha) + \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\alpha! h^{j+1}}{j!(\alpha-j)!} \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} h^{-1} C_\beta (-\eta_\beta)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence using (3.11) we obtain

$$Y_1 = h^{\alpha+1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} h^{-1} C_{\beta} \eta_{\beta}^{\alpha} (1 + (-1)^{\alpha}) + \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\alpha! h^{j+1}}{j!(\alpha-j)!} \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} h^{-1} C_{\beta} (-\eta_{\beta})^j + h \left(\frac{2t-1}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \frac{q_k^t - q_k^{N-t+1}}{1 - q_k} \right).$$

Now, adding Y_1 , Y_2 and the result substituting to the left side of the equality (3.8), we obtain

$$h^{\alpha+1} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1 - q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^{\alpha} - \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^{\alpha} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k (q_k^{\beta} + q_k^{N-\beta}) \right) + \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} h^{-1} C_{\beta} \eta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \right] (1 + (-1)^{\alpha}) + \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\alpha! h^{j+1}}{j!(\alpha-j)!} \left[\frac{B_{j+1}}{j+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1 - q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j + \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^j \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k (q_k^{\beta} + q_k^{N-\beta}) \right) + \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} h^{-1} C_{\beta} (-\eta_{\beta})^j \right] = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Clearly, that the left side of (3.12) is the polynomial of degree $\alpha + 1$ with respect to h . Since this polynomial is equal to zero then all coefficients of the polynomial are zero.

When α is odd from (3.12) we get system of equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1 - q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j + (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^j (q_k^{\beta} + q_k^{N-\beta}) \right\} + \\ + \frac{B_{j+1}}{j+1} + (-1)^j \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} (C_{\beta} h^{-1} \eta_{\beta}^j - \beta^j) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha - 1,$$

which is the part of the system (4.22), because $1 \leq \alpha \leq m - 1$.

When α is even from (3.12) we get following system of equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1 - q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j + (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^j (q_k^{\beta} + q_k^{N-\beta}) \right\} + \\ + \frac{B_{j+1}}{j+1} + (-1)^j \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} (C_{\beta} h^{-1} \eta_{\beta}^j - \beta^j) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha - 1,$$

which is the part of the system (4.22) and one new equation for each even α

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1-q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^\alpha - \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^\alpha (q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta}) \right] + \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} (C_\beta h^{-1} \eta_\beta^\alpha - \beta^\alpha) = 0.$$

Hence and from (3.11) for the optimal coefficients C_β , $\beta = 0, \dots, t-1$ we will get following system of equations

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta = h \left(\frac{2t-1}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \frac{q_k^t - q_k^{N-t+1}}{1-q_k} \right), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta \eta_\beta^\alpha = h \left[\sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^\alpha - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1-q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^\alpha - \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^\alpha (q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta}) \right) \right], \quad (3.14)$$

where $\alpha = \begin{cases} 2, 4, \dots, m-2 & \text{when } m - \text{ even,} \\ 2, 4, \dots, m-1 & \text{when } m - \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$

Thus for solvability of the system (3.13), (3.14) (with respect to C_β , $\beta = \overline{0, t-1}$) t have to take on a value $\frac{m}{2}$ when m is even and $\left[\frac{m}{2}\right] + 1$ when m is odd, where $[a]$ is the integer part of the number a .

Now, let $Y_3 = \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^\alpha (q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta})$. Then, using formula (2.4) and lemma 3.2 taking into account that α is even natural number, we have

$$Y_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1-q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^\alpha + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^i q_k^{t+i} - q_k^{N-t+1}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i t^\alpha. \quad (3.15)$$

Putting the equality (3.15) into (3.14), taking into account (3.13) we will get the assertion of lemma 3.3. Lemma 3.3 is proved.

Proof of lemma 4. Using formula of the binomial coefficients we will get

$$\begin{aligned} C_m^l C_l^k &= \frac{m!}{l! \cdot (m-l)!} \frac{l!}{k! \cdot (l-k)!} = \\ &= \frac{m!}{k! \cdot (m-k)!} \cdot \frac{(m-k)!}{(l-k)! \cdot ((m-k) - (l-k))!} = C_m^k C_{m-k}^{l-k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Applying the equality (3.16) to the left side of (3.4) we obtain

$$C_m^{m-1} C_{m-1}^n - C_m^{m-2} C_{m-2}^n + \dots + (-1)^{m-n-2} C_m^{m+1} C_{n+1}^n + (-1)^{m-n-1} C_m^n C_n^n =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C_m^n C_{m-n}^{m-n-1} - C_m^n C_{m-n}^{m-n-2} + \dots + (-1)^{m-n-1} C_m^n C_{m-n}^0 = \\
&= C_m^n (C_{m-n}^{m-n-1} - C_{m-n}^{m-n-2} + \dots + (-1)^{m-n-1} C_{m-n}^0) = \\
&= C_m^n (C_{m-n}^{m-n} - (C_{m-n}^{m-n} - C_{m-n}^{m-n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{m-n} C_{m-n}^0)) = \\
&= C_m^n (C_{m-n}^{m-n} - (1-1)^{m-n}) = C_m^n,
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4 is proved.

Proof of lemma 3.5. The assertion of this lemma we will prove by induction.

For $k = 1$:

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^1 \frac{y^{[i]}}{i!} \Delta^i 0^1 = \frac{y^{[1]}}{1!} \Delta^1 0^1 = y,$$

For $k = 2$:

$$y^2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{y^{[i]}}{i!} \Delta^i 0^2 = \frac{y^{[1]}}{1!} \Delta^1 0^2 + \frac{y^{[2]}}{2!} \Delta^2 0^2 = y + y(y-1) = y^2.$$

Now, suppose that the equality (3.5) is true for $k = \alpha$, i.e.

$$y^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{y^{[i]}}{i!} \Delta^i 0^\alpha = \frac{y^{[1]}}{1!} \Delta^1 0^\alpha + \frac{y^{[2]}}{2!} \Delta^2 0^\alpha + \dots + \frac{y^{[\alpha]}}{\alpha!} \Delta^\alpha 0^\alpha. \quad (3.17)$$

We will show that the equality (3.5) is also true for the case $k = \alpha + 1$. For this we will use following equalities

$$y^{[\alpha+1]} = y^{[\alpha]}(y - \alpha), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\Delta^i 0^{\alpha+1} = i (\Delta^{i-1} 0^\alpha + \Delta^i 0^\alpha). \quad (3.19)$$

Multiplying both parts of the equality (3.17) by y and keeping in mind (3.18), (3.19), we obtain

$$y^{\alpha+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha+1} \frac{y^{[i]}}{i!} \Delta^i 0^{\alpha+1} = \frac{y^{[1]}}{1!} \Delta^1 0^{\alpha+1} + \frac{y^{[2]}}{2!} \Delta^2 0^{\alpha+1} + \dots + \frac{y^{[\alpha+1]}}{(\alpha+1)!} \Delta^{\alpha+1} 0^{\alpha+1}.$$

Thus, for any $k \in N$ the equality (3.5) is true. Lemma 3.5 is proved.

4 The main results

4.1 Coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas

For the coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas of the form (1.1) following theorem holds.

Theorem 4.1. *The coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas of the form (1.1) with the error functional (1.2) and the nodes (1.5) in Sobolev space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ are expressed by formula*

$$C_\beta = h \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta} \right) \right), \quad t \leq \beta \leq N - t, \quad (4.1)$$

where d_k , $(k = \overline{1, m-1})$ satisfy following system of $m - 1$ linear equations:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k^{t+1} + (-1)^i q_k^{N-t+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j = \frac{t^{j+1} - B_{j+1}}{j+1} - \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta h^{-1} (t - \eta_\beta)^j, \quad (4.2)$$

$$j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1,$$

here the coefficients $C_\beta = C_{N-\beta}$ ($\beta = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$) are determined from the system (3.3), q_k are roots of Euler-Frobenius polynomial $E_{2m-2}(q)$, $|q_k| < 1$.

Proof. As said before the optimal coefficients C_β ($\beta = \overline{0, N}$) are solution of the system (1.8)-(1.9). In the lemma 3.1 we have obtained representation of the optimal coefficients C_β for $\beta = \overline{t, N-t}$. In the proof of the lemma 3.3, using the result of lemma 3.1, we have obtained the system (3.3) for the optimal coefficients C_β ($\beta = \overline{0, t-1}$). So we conclude that in order to solve the system (1.8)-(1.9) it is sufficient to find unknown parameters d_k and unknown polynomial $P_{m-1}(x_\beta) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} \lambda_\alpha x_\beta^\alpha$. For this in (1.8) instead of C_β , $\beta = \overline{t, N-t}$ substituting the expression (3.1) we will get polynomials of degree $2m$ with respect to x_β in both sides of (1.8). Equating coefficients of same powers of x_β we will get the system of $m - 1$ linear equations for unknowns d_k and we will find the coefficients of unknown polynomial $P_{m-1}(x_\beta)$. Thus the proof will be complete.

Further we will give detailed explanation of the proof of the theorem.

Now we consider the equality (1.8)

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \frac{|x_\beta - x_\gamma|^{2m-1}}{2 \cdot (2m-1)!} + P_{m-1}(x_\beta) = \frac{x_\beta^{2m} + (1 - x_\beta)^{2m}}{2(2m)!} \quad \text{as } x_\beta \in [0, 1]. \quad (4.3)$$

We denote by

$$g(x_\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \frac{|x_\beta - x_\gamma|^{2m-1}}{2 \cdot (2m-1)!}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$f(x_\beta) = \frac{x_\beta^{2m} + (1 - x_\beta)^{2m}}{2(2m)!}. \quad (4.5)$$

Now we consider the function $g(x_\beta)$ and let $t \leq \beta \leq N - t$. Then

$$g(x_\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} C_\gamma \frac{(x_\beta - x_\gamma)^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} + \sum_{\gamma=t}^{\beta} C_\gamma \frac{(x_\beta - x_\gamma)^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} - \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \frac{(x_\beta - x_\gamma)^{2m-1}}{2(2m-1)!}. \quad (4.6)$$

Further we denote by

$$\psi_1(x_\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} C_\gamma \frac{(x_\beta - x_\gamma)^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\psi_2(x_\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=t}^{\beta} C_\gamma \frac{(x_\beta - x_\gamma)^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\psi_3(x_\beta) = - \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \frac{(x_\beta - x_\gamma)^{2m-1}}{2(2m-1)!}. \quad (4.9)$$

In the equality (4.8), using (3.1), adding and subtracting following expression

$$\frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} q_k^\gamma (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} q_k^{N-\gamma} (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} \right) \right),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(x_\beta) &= \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \left[\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} q_k^\gamma (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} q_k^{N-\gamma} (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} \right) \right] - \\ &\quad - \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \left[\sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} q_k^\gamma (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} q_k^{N-\gamma} (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence replacing $\beta - \gamma$ with γ and using equalities (2.4), (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(x_\beta) &= \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{(2m-1)! B_{2m-j}}{j! (2m-j)!} \beta^j + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left\{ q_k^\beta \left(\frac{q_k}{q_k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \frac{\Delta^i 0^{2m-1}}{(q_k-1)^i} - \frac{q_k^{1-\beta}}{q_k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \frac{\Delta^i \beta^{2m-1}}{(q_k-1)^i} \right) + \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+q_k^{N-\beta} \left(\frac{1}{1-q_k} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \left(\frac{q_k}{1-q_k} \right)^i \Delta^i 0^{2m-1} - \frac{q_k^\beta}{1-q_k} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \left(\frac{q_k}{1-q_k} \right)^i \Delta^i \beta^{2m-1} \right) \Bigg\} + \\ - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\gamma + q_k^{N-\gamma} \right) \right) \Bigg].$$

Taking into account that q_k are roots of the Euler-Frobenius polynomial $E_{2m-2}(q)$ and using theorem 2.1, for $\psi_2(x_\beta)$ we have

$$\psi_2(x_\beta) = \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{(2m-1)! B_{2m-j}}{j! (2m-j)!} \beta^j + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \frac{-q_k + (-1)^i q_k^{N+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i \beta^{2m-1} - \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} (\beta - \gamma)^{2m-1} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\gamma + q_k^{N-\gamma} \right) \right) \right]. \quad (4.10)$$

Finally, using binomial formula and (2.6) from (4.10) we get

$$\psi_2(x_\beta) = \frac{(h\beta)^{2m}}{(2m)!} + \frac{h^{2m} \beta^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} \left[-\frac{2t-1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \frac{q_k^t - q_k^{N-t+1}}{1-q_k} \right] + \\ + \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{2m-2} \frac{(2m-1)! B_{j+1} \beta^{2m-1-j}}{(j+1)! (2m-1-j)!} + \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \frac{(2m-1)! \beta^{2m-1-j}}{j! (2m-1-j)!} \times \\ \times \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k + (-1)^i q_k^{N+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} (-\gamma)^j \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\gamma + q_k^{N-\gamma} \right) \right) \right]. \quad (4.11)$$

Now we consider the equality (4.7). Using binomial formula, keeping in mind (1.5) and (3.3) when $\alpha = 0$, for $\psi_1(x_\beta)$ we have

$$\psi_1(x_\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} C_\gamma \frac{(x_\beta - x_\gamma)^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} C_\gamma \frac{(h\beta - \eta_\gamma h)^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} = \\ = \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \frac{(2m-1)! \beta^{2m-1-j}}{j! (2m-1-j)!} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} C_\gamma h^{-1} (-\eta_\gamma)^j + \\ + \frac{h^{2m} \beta^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} \left[\frac{2t-1}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \frac{q_k^t - q_k^{N-t+1}}{1-q_k} \right]. \quad (4.12)$$

Further using binomial formula and the equality (1.9) from (4.9) we obtain

$$\psi_3(x_\beta) = - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j x_\beta^{2m-1-j}}{2(j+1)!(2m-1-j)!} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{m+j} x_\beta^{m-1-j}}{2(m+j)!(m-1-j)!} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma x_\gamma^{m+j}. \quad (4.13)$$

Similarly, using binomial formula in the equality (4.5) for $f_m(x_\beta)$ we have

$$f_m(x_\beta) = \frac{x_\beta^{2m}}{(2m)!} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} x_\beta^{2m-1-j}}{2(j+1)!(2m-1-j)!} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{m+j} x_\beta^{m+1+j}}{2(m+1+j)!(m-1-j)!}. \quad (4.14)$$

Substituting equalities (4.11), (4.12), (4.13), (4.14) to (4.3) and after calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{2m-2} \frac{(2m-1)! B_{j+1} \beta^{2m-1-j}}{(j+1)!(2m-1-j)!} + \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} \frac{(2m-1)! \beta^{2m-1-j}}{j!(2m-1-j)!} \times \\ & \times \left[(-1)^j \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} (C_\gamma h^{-1} \eta_\gamma^j - \gamma^j) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k + (-1)^i q_k^{N+i}}{(q_k-1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} (-\gamma)^j (q_k^\gamma + q_k^{N-\gamma}) \right) \right] + \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{m-j} x_\beta^{m-1-j}}{2(m+j)!(m-1-j)!} \left[\frac{1}{m+1+j} - \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma x_\gamma^{m+j} \right] = -P_{m-1}(x_\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Keeping in mind the equality (4.13) and designations (4.4), (4.5), from (4.15) one can see that the difference $g(x_\beta) - f_m(x_\beta)$ is polynomial of degree $2m-2$ with respect to x_β , i.e.

$$g(x_\beta) - f_m(x_\beta) = \sum_{j=0}^{2m-2} a_j x_\beta^j, \quad t \leq \beta \leq N-t. \quad (4.16)$$

Here

$$a_j = \begin{cases} b_j & \text{as } m \leq j \leq 2m-2, \\ b_j + \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2j!(2m-j-1)!} \left[\frac{1}{2m-j} - \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma x_\gamma^{2m-j-1} \right] & \text{as } 1 \leq j \leq m-1, \\ \frac{h^{2m}}{(2m-1)!} \left[(-1)^{2m-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} (C_\gamma h^{-1} \eta_\gamma^{2m-1} - \gamma^{2m-1}) + \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2m-1} \frac{-q_k + (-1)^i q_k^{N+i}}{(q_k-1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^{2m-1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} \gamma^{2m-1} (q_k^\gamma + q_k^{N-\gamma}) \right) - \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2(2m-1)!} \left(\frac{1}{2m} - \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma x_\gamma^{2m-1} \right) \right] & \text{as } j=0, \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$b_j = \frac{h^{2m-j}}{j!(2m-j-1)!} \left[\frac{B_{2m-j}}{2m-j} + (-1)^{2m-j-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} (C_\gamma h^{-1} \eta_\gamma^{2m-j-1} - \gamma^{2m-j-1}) + \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2m-j-1} \frac{-q_k + (-1)^i q_k^{N+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^{2m-1-j} + (-1)^{2m-j} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} \gamma^{2m-j-1} (q_k^\gamma + q_k^{N-\gamma}) \right).$$

On the other hand from (4.15) we obtain

$$g(x_\beta) - f_m(x_\beta) = -P_{m-1}(x_\beta). \quad (4.18)$$

This equality takes place if $b_j = 0$, as $m \leq j \leq 2m - 2$ or

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k + (-1)^i q_k^{N+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j - (-1)^j \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} \gamma^j (q_k^\gamma + q_k^{N-\gamma}) \right\} = \\ = -\frac{B_{j+1}}{j+1} - (-1)^j \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} (C_\gamma h^{-1} \eta_\gamma^j - \gamma^j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

From equalities (4.16) and (4.18) we will find unknown polynomial $P_{m-1}(x_\beta)$ of the system (1.8) - (1.9)

$$P_{m-1}(x_\beta) = - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j x_\beta^j. \quad (4.20)$$

Later, applying to the sum $A = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} \gamma^j (q_k^\gamma + q_k^{N-\gamma})$ formulas (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (3.2), we obtain

$$A = (-1)^j \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k + (-1)^i q_k^{N+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j + \sum_{i=0}^j \frac{-q_k^{N-t+1} + (-1)^i q_k^{t+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p \Delta^i 0^p t^{j-p}. \quad (4.21)$$

Substituting the equality (4.21) to the (4.19), after some simplifications we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left\{ (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p t^{j-p} \sum_{i=0}^p \frac{-q_k^{N-t+1} + (-1)^i q_k^{t+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^p \right\} = \\ = -\frac{B_{j+1}}{j+1} - (-1)^j \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} (C_\gamma h^{-1} \eta_\gamma^j - \gamma^j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Multiplying the first equation of the system (4.22) by $(-1)^2 C_2^1 t$, adding with the second one, then, multiplying the first equation by $(-1)^3 C_3^1 t^2$, the second by $(-1)^2 C_3^2 t$ of the system (4.22), adding with the third and so on, continuing by this way, and also taking into account lemmas

3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and binomial formula for unknown parameters d_k we get following linear system of equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^N d_k \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k^{t+1} + (-1)^i q_k^{N-t+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j = \frac{t^{j+1} - B_{j+1}}{j+1} - \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} C_\beta h^{-1} (t - \eta_\beta)^j,$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1.$$

The last system is the system (4.2) for d_k . This completes the proof of the theorem 4.1.

4.2 Norm of the error functional of the optimal quadrature formula

In this section square of norm of the error functional (1.2) of optimal quadrature formulas of the form (1.1) with the nodes (1.5) is calculated.

Following is valid

Theorem 4.2. *Square of norm of the error functional (1.2) of optimal quadrature formulas of the form (1.2) with the nodes (1.5) on the space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ have following form*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \ell(x) | L_2^{(m)*}(0, 1) \right\|^2 &= (-1)^{m+1} \left[\frac{h^{2m} B_{2m}}{(2m)!} + \frac{2h^{2m+1}}{(2m)!} \left\{ \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} (C_\beta h^{-1} \eta_\beta^{2m} - \beta^{2m}) + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \sum_{i=0}^{2m} \frac{(-1)^i q_k^{t+i} - q_k^{N-t+1}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i t^{2m} \right\} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where B_α are Bernoulli numbers, C_β , $\beta = \overline{0, t-1}$ are determined from the system (3.3), q_k are the roots of the Euler-Frobenius polynomial $E_{2m-2}(q)$, $|q_k| < 1$, η_β , $\beta = \overline{0, t-1}$ are defined from (1.5), $\Delta^i t^{2m}$ is finite-difference of order i of t^{2m} , d_k are determined from (4.2).

Proof. Taking into account (4.3), we reduce the expression (1.7) to the form

$$\left\| \ell(x) | L_2^{(m)*}(0, 1) \right\|^2 = (-1)^{m+1} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta (f_m(x_\beta) + P_{m-1}(x_\beta)) - \frac{1}{(2m+1)!} \right]. \quad (4.23)$$

Applying the binomial formula to the equality (4.5), we obtain

$$f_m(x_\beta) = \frac{(1 - x_\beta)^{2m}}{(2m)!} - \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} x_\beta^{2m-i-1}}{2(i+1)!(2m-i-1)!}. \quad (4.24)$$

Putting (4.24) to the equality (4.23) and using equalities (4.17), (1.9), consecutively we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\ell(x)\|^2 &= (-1)^{m+1} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \frac{(1-x_\beta)^{2m}}{(2m)!} - \frac{1}{(2m+1)!} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{h^{2m-j} B_{2m-j}}{(j+1)!(2m-j)!} - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{h^{2m-j}}{(j+1)!(2m-j-1)!} \left\{ (-1)^{2m-j-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{t-1} (C_\gamma h^{-1} \eta_\gamma^{2m-j-1} - \gamma^{2m-j-1}) + \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2m-j-1} \frac{-q_k + (-1)^i q_k^{N+i}}{(q_k-1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j + (-1)^{2m-j} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{t-1} \gamma^{2m-j-1} (q_k^\gamma + q_k^{N-\gamma}) \right) \right\} \right] = \\
&= (-1)^{m+1} [Z_1 + Z_2], \tag{4.25}
\end{aligned}$$

where $Z_1 = \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \frac{(1-x_\beta)^{2m}}{(2m)!}$ and Z_2 is the remaining part in square brackets of the equality (4.25).

Keeping in mind symmetry of the nodes (1.5), and doing similarly calculations as in the proof of the lemma 3.3 when $\alpha = 2m$, for Z_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 &= \frac{1}{(2m+1)!} + \frac{h^{2m} B_{2m}}{(2m)!} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m-2} \frac{B_{2m-j} h^{2m-j}}{(j+1)!(2m-j)!} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{2m-2} \frac{h^{2m-j}}{(j+1)!(2m-j-1)!} \left[(-1)^{2m-j-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} (C_\beta h^{-1} \eta_\beta^{2m-j-1} - \beta^{2m-j-1}) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2m-j-1} \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1-q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^{2m-j-1} + (-1)^{2m-j} \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} \beta^{2m-j-1} (q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta}) \right\} \right] + \\
&\quad + \frac{2h^{2m+1}}{(2m)!} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1-q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^{2m} - \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} \beta^{2m} (q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta}) \right\} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} (C_\beta h^{-1} \eta_\beta^{2m} - \beta^{2m}) \right]. \tag{4.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting the equality (4.26) into (4.25) and taking into account the equality (4.19), we have

$$\|\ell(x)\|^2 = (-1)^{m+1} \left[\frac{h^{2m} B_{2m}}{(2m)!} + \frac{2h^{2m+1}}{(2m)!} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1-q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^{2m} - \right. \right. \right.$$

$$- \sum_{\beta=1}^{t-1} \beta^{2m} \left(q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta} \right) \Big\} + \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} \left(C_\beta h^{-1} \eta_\beta^{2m} - \beta^{2m} \right) \Bigg] \Bigg]. \quad (4.27)$$

Hence, using formulas (2.4), (2.5) and lemma 3.2 when $s = 0$, after simplifications we will get the assertion of the theorem.

Theorem 4.2 is proved.

Remark. Should be noted, that when the nodes (1.5) are equal spaced, i.e. when in (1.5) $\eta_0 = 0$, $\eta_1 = 1, \dots, \eta_{t-1} = t - 1$, from the theorems 4.1 and 4.2 we get the theorem 2.1 of [11] and the results of [31].

5 Numerical results

For simplicity in this section we investigate the optimal quadrature formulas of the form (1.1) with the nodes

$$x_0 = \eta_0 h, \quad x_N = 1 - \eta_0 h, \quad 0 \leq \eta_0 < 1, \quad x_\beta = h\beta, \quad \beta = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1. \quad (5.1)$$

This means we consider optimal quadrature formulas of the form

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx \cong C_0 \varphi(\eta_0 h) + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N-1} C_\beta \varphi(h\beta) + C_N \varphi(1 - \eta_0 h) \quad (5.2)$$

with the error functional

$$\ell(x) = \varepsilon_{[0,1]}(x) - \left(C_0 \delta(x - \eta_0 h) + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N-1} C_\beta \delta(x - h\beta) + C_N \delta(x - (1 - \eta_0 h)) \right). \quad (5.3)$$

Then for this case from theorems 4.1 and 4.2 when $t = 1$ we get following

Corollary 5.1 *The coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas of the form (5.2) with the error functional (5.3) in the Sobolev space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ are expressed by formulas*

$$C_\beta = \begin{cases} h \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \frac{q_k - q_k^N}{q_k - 1} \right), & \beta = 0, N, \\ h \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(q_k^\beta + q_k^{N-\beta} \right) \right), & \beta = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

where d_k ($k = \overline{1, m-1}$) satisfy following system of $m-1$ linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^j \frac{-q_k^2 + (-1)^i q_k^{N-1+i}}{(q_k - 1)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^j + \frac{(q_k - q_k^N)(1 - \eta_0)^j}{q_k - 1} \right) = \\ = \frac{1 - B_{j+1}}{j+1} - \frac{(1 - \eta_0)^j}{2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

here q_k are the roots of the Euler-Frobenius polynomial $E_{2m-2}(q)$ of degree $2m-2$, $|q_k| < 1$, B_{j+1} are Bernoulli numbers, $0 \leq \eta_0 < 1$, $\Delta^i \gamma^j$ is finite difference of order i of γ^j , $\Delta^i 0^j = \Delta^i \gamma^j|_{\gamma=0}$.

Corollary 5.2. Square of norm of the error functional (5.3) of optimal quadrature formulas of the form (5.2) on the space $L_2^{(m)}(0, 1)$ have following form

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \overset{\circ}{\ell}(x) | L_2^{(m)*}(0, 1) \right\|^2 = (-1)^{m+1} \left[\frac{h^{2m} B_{2m}}{(2m)!} + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2h^{2m+1}}{(2m)!} \left(\frac{\eta_0^{2m}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{-q_k^{N+i} + (-1)^i q_k}{(1 - q_k)^{i+1}} \Delta^i 0^{2m} + \frac{(q_k - q_k^N) \eta_0^{2m}}{q_k - 1} \right] \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where B_{2m} is Bernoulli number, q_k are the roots of the Euler-Frobenius polynomial $E_{2m-2}(q)$, $|q_k| < 1$, $0 \leq \eta_0 < 1$, $\Delta^i \gamma^{2m}$ is finite difference of order i of γ^{2m} , $\Delta^i 0^{2m} = \Delta^i \gamma^{2m}|_{\gamma=0}$.

The aim of this section is by using the equalities (5.4), (5.5) and by choosing $0 \leq \eta_0 < 1$ to investigate positiveness of optimal coefficients.

As mentioned above, I.J.Schoenberg and S.Silliman in [25] showed, that in the case of equal spaced nodes among optimal coefficients it is appears negative coefficient starting from $m = 7$. From (5.4) and (5.5) in the case $\eta_0 = 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ taking instead of optimal coefficients C_β the coefficients NC_β we get the results of [25].

By choosing the value of $\eta_0 = 0, 205$ and using (5.4) and (5.5), we obtain optimal quadrature formulas of the form (5.2) with positive coefficients in the cases $m = 2, 3, \dots, 14$.

Below, in the first subsection, we give the lists of the optimal coefficients in tables. In the second subsection, we compared some of the results of this paper with well known formulas.

5.1 The coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas of the form (5.2) in the case

$$\eta_0 = 0, 205$$

Since $|q_k| < 1$ from (5.4) it is easy to see, that the optimal coefficients which located in the middle of the list of optimal coefficients are close to h . Therefore, and also taking into account symmetry of the optimal coefficients, we give the tables of optimal coefficients which satisfy the condition $|C_\beta - h| > 10^{-8}$ and are located in the left boundary layer in the list of optimal coefficients when $N = 300$, $\eta_0 = 0, 205$ for $m = 2, 3, \dots, 14, 15$.

Table 1. The optimal coefficients for $m = 2$

$C_0 = 0.00177612633884071008$	$C_3 = 0.00332336870971015344$	$C_6 = 0.00333352503163128086$
$C_1 = 0.00319454403039647954$	$C_4 = 0.00333600334618604447$	$C_7 = 0.00333328196792920787$
$C_2 = 0.00337052181497334175$	$C_5 = 0.00333261790554566866$	$C_8 = 0.00333334709665188764$

Table 2. The optimal coefficients for $m = 3$

$C_0 = 0.00175247906611553142$	$C_3 = 0.00333236955552006215$	$C_6 = 0.00333339747877195358$
$C_1 = 0.00324264900163471085$	$C_4 = 0.00333368616893237390$	$C_7 = 0.00333330571886293306$
$C_2 = 0.00333892060971646866$	$C_5 = 0.00333318408917128015$	$C_8 = 0.00333334522322914572$

Table 3. The optimal coefficients for $m = 4$

$C_0 = 0.00174455012038852683$	$C_6 = 0.00332924765792690917$	$C_{12} = 0.00333323709636443753$
$C_1 = 0.00326634746379700186$	$C_7 = 0.00333552260766573748$	$C_{13} = 0.00333338484710726915$
$C_2 = 0.00330774531081996009$	$C_8 = 0.00333216117670071227$	$C_{14} = 0.00333330575901727716$
$C_3 = 0.00335699483364953318$	$C_9 = 0.0033396080026924074$	$C_{15} = 0.00333334809332522744$
$C_4 = 0.00331942429918189420$	$C_{10} = 0.0033299745834218190$	
$C_5 = 0.00334093116706873427$	$C_{11} = 0.0033351312116039613$	

Table 4. The optimal coefficients for $m = 5$

$C_0 = 0.00173901538774817543$	$C_8 = 0.00332569334718594541$	$C_{16} = 0.00333319051620654561$
$C_1 = 0.00328716890432268279$	$C_9 = 0.00333798181479271212$	$C_{17} = 0.00333342016578285375$
$C_2 = 0.00326911260567167412$	$C_{10} = 0.00333050638482074723$	$C_{18} = 0.00333328053942885252$
$C_3 = 0.00340205381465105221$	$C_{11} = 0.00333505224863080752$	$C_{19} = 0.00333336543188980042$
$C_4 = 0.00328232898469587043$	$C_{12} = 0.00333228820948218315$	$C_{20} = 0.00333331381749472885$
$C_5 = 0.00336635018632553123$	$C_{13} = 0.00333396877152254017$	$C_{21} = 0.00333334519891226771$
$C_6 = 0.00331284808226362786$	$C_{14} = 0.00333294698744021598$	
$C_7 = 0.00334587152301716133$	$C_{15} = 0.0033356823085626853$	

Table 5. The optimal coefficients for $m = 6$

$C_0 = 0.00173498886058381026$	$C_7 = 0.00337747643535115270$	$C_{15} = 0.00333497426498772165$	$C_{21} = 0.00333347053324047546$
$C_1 = 0.00330520593286011352$	$C_8 = 0.00330385537900635393$	$C_{14} = 0.00333085188184676641$	$C_{22} = 0.00333324260768654442$
$C_2 = 0.00322620390097277555$	$C_9 = 0.00335290464626403816$	$C_{16} = 0.00333224823220328272$	$C_{23} = 0.00333339332712609729$
$C_3 = 0.00346783504823977116$	$C_{10} = 0.00332037008745176751$	$C_{17} = 0.00333405087626146100$	$C_{24} = 0.00333329366147357165$
$C_4 = 0.00321158077766250064$	$C_{11} = 0.00334191131490927107$	$C_{18} = 0.00333285884589705156$	$C_{25} = 0.00333335956698815529$
$C_5 = 0.00342625617820782061$	$C_{12} = 0.003327659419014779572$	$C_{19} = 0.00333364709595060379$	$C_{26} = 0.00333331598590751689$
$C_6 = 0.00326815998330420462$	$C_{13} = 0.00333708573208910388$	$C_{20} = 0.00333312585271306499$	$C_{27} = 0.00333334480459741380$

Table 6. The optimal coefficients for $m = 7$

$C_0 = 0.00173205877707359127$	$C_9 = 0.00339643943424144824$	$C_{18} = 0.00333069296984364163$	$C_{27} = 0.00333344250631211799$
$C_1 = 0.00332034204314634415$	$C_{10} = 0.00328876219893682112$	$C_{19} = 0.00333518660336370406$	$C_{28} = 0.00333325670544635996$
$C_2 = 0.00318230520695443787$	$C_{11} = 0.00336471020635444449$	$C_{20} = 0.00333203252906371234$	$C_{29} = 0.00333338711800696554$
$C_3 = 0.00355132503340265203$	$C_{12} = 0.00331127918647367579$	$C_{21} = 0.00333424636193460625$	$C_{30} = 0.00333329558217999618$
$C_4 = 0.00309977448873935734$	$C_{13} = 0.00334882331050388661$	$C_{22} = 0.00333269248328614613$	$C_{31} = 0.00333335983065088640$
$C_5 = 0.00354150500848728542$	$C_{14} = 0.00332245757624453130$	$C_{23} = 0.00333378314247101608$	$C_{32} = 0.00333331473501844551$
$C_6 = 0.00316818905922230260$	$C_{15} = 0.00334096810776062514$	$C_{24} = 0.00333301761482745647$	$C_{33} = 0.00333334638738364948$
$C_7 = 0.00345627307134070750$	$C_{16} = 0.00332797414827915069$	$C_{25} = 0.00333355493435702833$	
$C_8 = 0.00324460285490503001$	$C_{17} = 0.00333709504135639172$	$C_{26} = 0.0033331779284220016$	

Table 7. The optimal coefficients for $m = 8$

$C_0 = 0.00172995290066120350$	$C_{11} = 0.00342842342134998057$	$C_{22} = 0.00333014109574784269$	$C_{33} = 0.00333343948477634317$
$C_1 = 0.00333261654096956311$	$C_{12} = 0.00326323297211348103$	$C_{23} = 0.00333567603784529139$	$C_{34} = 0.00333325543170061418$
$C_2 = 0.00314030615358630034$	$C_{13} = 0.00338490036159433393$	$C_{24} = 0.00333161408331454461$	$C_{35} = 0.00333339050320497157$
$C_3 = 0.00364680181687277409$	$C_{14} = 0.00329544249146043423$	$C_{25} = 0.00333459504509005457$	$C_{36} = 0.00333329137793257419$
$C_4 = 0.00294599819613147818$	$C_{15} = 0.00336115859898192848$	$C_{26} = 0.00333240739717116319$	$C_{37} = 0.00333336412325119880$
$C_5 = 0.00373067122924529534$	$C_{16} = 0.00331290610817136431$	$C_{27} = 0.00333401285268273812$	$C_{38} = 0.00333331073745712191$
$C_6 = 0.00297727240591131934$	$C_{17} = 0.00334832702306404860$	$C_{28} = 0.00333283465264497077$	$C_{39} = 0.00333334991582712020$
$C_7 = 0.00362534555471145307$	$C_{18} = 0.00332232883088807872$	$C_{29} = 0.00333369930144181951$	$C_{40} = 0.00333332116389597219$
$C_8 = 0.00310592534617643848$	$C_{19} = 0.00334140963871753226$	$C_{30} = 0.00333306475936511862$	
$C_9 = 0.00350552648624077583$	$C_{20} = 0.00332740619898615369$	$C_{31} = 0.00333353043240642612$	
$C_{10} = 0.00320487233533179992$	$C_{21} = 0.00333768315455876029$	$C_{32} = 0.00333318868772800817$	

Table 8. The optimal coefficients for $m = 9$

$C_0 = 0.00172847784910360447$	$C_{12} = 0.00314035977572450425$	$C_{24} = 0.00332610031017101433$	$C_{36} = 0.00333306608365147515$
$C_1 = 0.00334216427951079832$	$C_{13} = 0.00348084679101216452$	$C_{25} = 0.00333882817755420089$	$C_{37} = 0.00333353635843483279$
$C_2 = 0.00310265417511838644$	$C_{14} = 0.00322087398274449081$	$C_{26} = 0.00332915897604222655$	$C_{38} = 0.00333317909856898933$
$C_3 = 0.00374645947596537845$	$C_{15} = 0.00341893779189990479$	$C_{27} = 0.00333650452957059022$	$C_{39} = 0.00333345050289666260$
$C_4 = 0.00275792168171570242$	$C_{16} = 0.00326822729185132696$	$C_{28} = 0.00333092422572131367$	$C_{40} = 0.0033324432158157594$
$C_5 = 0.00400138156396844176$	$C_{17} = 0.00338282511219261974$	$C_{29} = 0.00333516349327706089$	$C_{41} = 0.00333340095406794224$
$C_6 = 0.00266165221372250548$	$C_{18} = 0.00329572154562808534$	$C_{30} = 0.00333194299096395421$	$C_{42} = 0.00333328196299562843$
$C_7 = 0.00394079887384133675$	$C_{19} = 0.00336191228831355167$	$C_{31} = 0.00333438955334958306$	$C_{43} = 0.00333337235851711259$
$C_8 = 0.00282156182791894007$	$C_{20} = 0.00331161983320458289$	$C_{32} = 0.00333253094060154966$	$C_{44} = 0.00333330368655589561$
$C_9 = 0.00374603753952685103$	$C_{21} = 0.00334982981298158293$	$C_{33} = 0.00333394289767582404$	$C_{45} = 0.00333335585549280241$
$C_{10} = 0.00300894501757551301$	$C_{22} = 0.00332080076136125273$	$C_{34} = 0.00333287025750894230$	$C_{46} = 0.00333331622362661400$
$C_{11} = 0.00358457992357594231$	$C_{23} = 0.00334285432190737266$	$C_{35} = 0.00333368512427696033$	$C_{47} = 0.00333334633129049714$

Table 9. The optimal coefficients for $m = 10$

$C_0 = 0.00172749278862645234$	$C_{14} = 0.00304088010987428129$	$C_{28} = 0.00332404459372417715$	$C_{42} = 0.00333304181986959795$
$C_1 = 0.00334915954552527705$	$C_{15} = 0.00356281566915249473$	$C_{29} = 0.00334058737008624209$	$C_{43} = 0.00333356098973720558$
$C_2 = 0.00307141154907661477$	$C_{16} = 0.00315360445472180508$	$C_{30} = 0.00332766830567799599$	$C_{44} = 0.00333315554587373269$
$C_3 = 0.00384087716305041600$	$C_{17} = 0.00347393491400228088$	$C_{31} = 0.00333775742286366819$	$C_{45} = 0.00333347217581801177$
$C_4 = 0.00255327063613243166$	$C_{18} = 0.00322341661691745051$	$C_{32} = 0.00332987835336878476$	$C_{46} = 0.00333322490478845213$
$C_5 = 0.00434013033335869961$	$C_{19} = 0.00341922625493328018$	$C_{33} = 0.00333603148905263082$	$C_{47} = 0.00333341801022001505$
$C_6 = 0.00221013903097713541$	$C_{20} = 0.00326623022080639272$	$C_{34} = 0.00333122621755866373$	$C_{48} = 0.00333326720521970105$
$C_7 = 0.00444946400666106578$	$C_{21} = 0.00338574919280914013$	$C_{35} = 0.00333497887821597101$	$C_{49} = 0.00333338497584858724$
$C_8 = 0.00231492992409806705$	$C_{22} = 0.00329239374555605829$	$C_{36} = 0.00333204825076180440$	$C_{50} = 0.00333329300329463880$
$C_9 = 0.00420985817056583358$	$C_{23} = 0.00336530759722583348$	$C_{37} = 0.00333433691406739092$	$C_{51} = 0.00333336482893367433$
$C_{10} = 0.00260680747387077026$	$C_{24} = 0.00330836190455046297$	$C_{38} = 0.00333254959049473769$	$C_{52} = 0.00333330873695621772$
$C_{11} = 0.00392158564879464832$	$C_{25} = 0.00335283526564426801$	$C_{39} = 0.00333394539453196409$	$C_{53} = 0.00333335254178659839$
$C_{12} = 0.00286380539858292753$	$C_{26} = 0.00331810309402904888$	$C_{40} = 0.00333285534630910409$	$C_{54} = 0.00333331833256004469$
$C_{13} = 0.00370486410231191268$	$C_{27} = 0.00334522746373694056$	$C_{41} = 0.00333370661560394268$	$C_{55} = 0.00333334504813390320$

Table 10. The optimal coefficients for $m = 11$

$C_0 = 0.00172689334490066565$	$C_{16} = 0.00290248747287229047$	$C_{32} = 0.00332138043174862451$	$C_{48} = 0.00333300511542151927$
$C_1 = 0.00335378561374918185$	$C_{17} = 0.00367878243460793137$	$C_{33} = 0.00334288089094969269$	$C_{49} = 0.00333359550162011932$
$C_2 = 0.00304832508611045170$	$C_{18} = 0.00305674037361827340$	$C_{34} = 0.00332570708681864833$	$C_{50} = 0.00333312392303583896$
$C_3 = 0.00391935697738649923$	$C_{19} = 0.00355459988011267817$	$C_{35} = 0.00333942490198512218$	$C_{51} = 0.00333350060250695466$
$C_4 = 0.00236091789799528996$	$C_{20} = 0.00315642482727045543$	$C_{36} = 0.00332846761136009168$	$C_{52} = 0.00333319972492547481$
$C_5 = 0.00470103221229688833$	$C_{21} = 0.00347472666712902671$	$C_{37} = 0.00333721989298164747$	$C_{53} = 0.00333344005477077078$
$C_6 = 0.00166606164689116412$	$C_{22} = 0.00322035045788260487$	$C_{38} = 0.00333022889296381827$	$C_{54} = 0.00333324808820998967$
$C_7 = 0.00513715438246413703$	$C_{23} = 0.00342360164155868860$	$C_{39} = 0.00333581304546978635$	$C_{55} = 0.00333340142397405117$
$C_8 = 0.00155687727226540244$	$C_{24} = 0.00326121936040494949$	$C_{40} = 0.00333135263111543830$	$C_{56} = 0.00333327894505666322$
$C_9 = 0.00496522549139354527$	$C_{25} = 0.00339094085206410810$	$C_{41} = 0.00333491544485158395$	$C_{57} = 0.00333337677667015449$
$C_{10} = 0.00190545757664787945$	$C_{26} = 0.00328731578129565660$	$C_{42} = 0.00333206960132156587$	$C_{58} = 0.00333329863240825021$
$C_{11} = 0.00454262920324724638$	$C_{27} = 0.00337009186182822834$	$C_{43} = 0.00333434275558700776$	$C_{59} = 0.00333336105114438844$
$C_{12} = 0.00233068337371350425$	$C_{28} = 0.00330397127017939317$	$C_{44} = 0.00333252704428838619$	$C_{60} = 0.00333331119337459038$
$C_{13} = 0.00415339159536806206$	$C_{29} = 0.00335678702055923429$	$C_{45} = 0.00333397736710399180$	$C_{61} = 0.00333335101791066748$
$C_{14} = 0.00266841337297767427$	$C_{30} = 0.00331459921621225224$	$C_{46} = 0.00333281890305696659$	$C_{62} = 0.00333331920754931007$
$C_{15} = 0.00386950335147916675$	$C_{31} = 0.00334829754279374992$	$C_{47} = 0.00333374424114699843$	$C_{63} = 0.00333334461648534207$

Table 11. The optimal coefficients for $m = 12$

$C_0 = 0.00172660093000204434$	$C_{18} = 0.00275760059774337822$	$C_{36} = 0.00331906522131345626$	$C_{54} = 0.00333298282252256406$
$C_1 = 0.00335622016635424541$	$C_{19} = 0.00380333655344864095$	$C_{37} = 0.00334494624845792310$	$C_{55} = 0.00333361861605357889$
$C_2 = 0.00303488707201474333$	$C_{20} = 0.00295003782640532812$	$C_{38} = 0.00332388150649666917$	$C_{56} = 0.00333310114012289132$
$C_3 = 0.00397016939076339731$	$C_{21} = 0.00364570570477646406$	$C_{39} = 0.00334102623365402826$	$C_{57} = 0.00333352231669313408$
$C_4 = 0.00222172391357403828$	$C_{22} = 0.00307887414398914889$	$C_{40} = 0.00332707203566076212$	$C_{58} = 0.00333317951871399489$
$C_5 = 0.00499378828442308939$	$C_{23} = 0.00354055563717261057$	$C_{41} = 0.00333842944024015643$	$C_{59} = 0.00333345852391701871$
$C_6 = 0.00117134786383522544$	$C_{24} = 0.00316461152396595462$	$C_{42} = 0.00332918558244974745$	$C_{60} = 0.00333323144001324420$
$C_7 = 0.00583516570203082313$	$C_{25} = 0.00347069038125884784$	$C_{43} = 0.00333670921153828293$	$C_{61} = 0.00333341626487956293$
$C_8 = 0.00070537581513706783$	$C_{26} = 0.00322151976711594156$	$C_{44} = 0.00333058568684464716$	$C_{62} = 0.00333326583488148334$
$C_9 = 0.00589276164637635086$	$C_{27} = 0.00342434860282943662$	$C_{45} = 0.00333556965838687970$	$C_{63} = 0.00333338827070287737$
$C_{10} = 0.00097691145372704574$	$C_{28} = 0.00325925036543305965$	$C_{46} = 0.00333151317572930858$	$C_{64} = 0.00333328861949931687$
$C_{11} = 0.00541764827809579119$	$C_{29} = 0.00339363262285636622$	$C_{47} = 0.00333481476987879354$	$C_{65} = 0.00333336972617429080$
$C_{12} = 0.00154000801804920969$	$C_{30} = 0.00328425393283165749$	$C_{48} = 0.00333212758377888206$	$C_{66} = 0.00333330371299542040$
$C_{13} = 0.00484769113306215419$	$C_{31} = 0.00337328012014849898$	$C_{49} = 0.00333431469968656027$	$C_{67} = 0.00333335744149293259$
$C_{14} = 0.00207043279270397406$	$C_{32} = 0.00330081999773997306$	$C_{50} = 0.00333253459373417958$	$C_{68} = 0.00333331371156666169$
$C_{15} = 0.00437783417724906036$	$C_{33} = 0.00335979634546885836$	$C_{51} = 0.00333398343198778386$	$C_{69} = 0.00333334930359957163$
$C_{16} = 0.00247418267818456252$	$C_{34} = 0.00331179481824981668$	$C_{52} = 0.00333280421438103343$	$C_{70} = 0.00333332033504383217$
$C_{17} = 0.00403747731479976406$	$C_{35} = 0.00335086371649026079$	$C_{53} = 0.00333376398622498520$	$C_{71} = 0.00333334391271425501$

Table 12. The optimal coefficients for $m = 13$

$C_0 = 0.0017265548945567044$	$C_{20} = 0.00277237192137831871$	$C_{40} = 0.00332071149652304087$	$C_{60} = 0.00333305114925564172$
$C_1 = 0.00335662901376447258$	$C_{21} = 0.00379816301931711370$	$C_{41} = 0.00334377075895046149$	$C_{61} = 0.00333356668071934869$
$C_2 = 0.00303238425807657517$	$C_{22} = 0.00294841399870425801$	$C_{42} = 0.00332470227406376349$	$C_{62} = 0.00333314037062970202$
$C_3 = 0.00398073517633032120$	$C_{23} = 0.00365193776947454155$	$C_{43} = 0.00334047064592444503$	$C_{63} = 0.00333349290060179606$
$C_4 = 0.00218920482037733280$	$C_{24} = 0.00306969888569782702$	$C_{44} = 0.00332743125080413949$	$C_{64} = 0.00333320138185937509$
$C_5 = 0.00507104524959419857$	$C_{25} = 0.00355143697213385530$	$C_{45} = 0.00333821396235558649$	$C_{65} = 0.00333344244838961507$
$C_6 = 0.00102336156051060256$	$C_{26} = 0.00315292244059992812$	$C_{46} = 0.00332929737855920021$	$C_{66} = 0.00333324310246929006$
$C_7 = 0.00607199798241084696$	$C_{27} = 0.00348255132276115587$	$C_{47} = 0.00333667079868275828$	$C_{67} = 0.00333340794823161848$
$C_8 = 0.00037867881870999653$	$C_{28} = 0.00320992302093593156$	$C_{48} = 0.00333057347222005968$	$C_{68} = 0.00333327163179578226$
$C_9 = 0.00629239692582258769$	$C_{29} = 0.00343539498005851424$	$C_{49} = 0.00333561555424564661$	$C_{69} = 0.00333338435638400590$
$C_{10} = 0.00053211065682692867$	$C_{30} = 0.00324892979162245131$	$C_{50} = 0.00333144608900867865$	$C_{70} = 0.00333329114067812168$
$C_{11} = 0.00587832107921238828$	$C_{31} = 0.00340313244846696006$	$C_{51} = 0.00333489395837593862$	$C_{71} = 0.00333336822384125740$
$C_{12} = 0.00108774569344314697$	$C_{32} = 0.00327561242680296621$	$C_{52} = 0.00333204280063615644$	$C_{72} = 0.00333330448121352504$

Table 12. Continuation

$C_{13} = 0.00527471154107237576$	$C_{33} = 0.00338106562394459128$	$C_{53} = 0.00333440051769216630$	$C_{73} = 0.00333335719210945782$
$C_{14} = 0.00167844383373434332$	$C_{34} = 0.00329386137938002601$	$C_{54} = 0.00333245084313764205$	$C_{74} = 0.00333331360371894311$
$C_{15} = 0.00473043759244898944$	$C_{35} = 0.00336597430528210186$	$C_{55} = 0.00333406309378899346$	$C_{75} = 0.00333334964840674512$
$C_{16} = 0.00216162520663729902$	$C_{36} = 0.00330634125957574669$	$C_{56} = 0.00333272987017358315$	$C_{76} = 0.00333331984185726252$
$C_{17} = 0.00431159258197410437$	$C_{37} = 0.00335565407117066211$	$C_{57} = 0.00333383235706368672$	$C_{77} = 0.00333334448988298376$
$C_{18} = 0.00251908554798301532$	$C_{38} = 0.00331487552014629517$	$C_{58} = 0.00333292067403627449$	
$C_{19} = 0.00400965449172913550$	$C_{39} = 0.00334859674230775176$	$C_{59} = 0.00333367457501187563$	

Table 13. The optimal coefficients for $m = 14$

$C_0 = 0.00172671049778565797$	$C_{23} = 0.00316025452220934915$	$C_{46} = 0.00333638193499156475$	$C_{69} = 0.00333328063425493357$
$C_1 = 0.00335516395507917580$	$C_{24} = 0.00347924238639198785$	$C_{47} = 0.00333077781143822645$	$C_{70} = 0.00333337750881443832$
$C_2 = 0.00304193627584372803$	$C_{25} = 0.00321053936117372331$	$C_{48} = 0.00333547552503919473$	$C_{71} = 0.00333329630283508029$
$C_3 = 0.00393776327125170017$	$C_{26} = 0.00343655097788938971$	$C_{49} = 0.00333153762035049978$	$C_{72} = 0.00333336437448481488$
$C_4 = 0.00233007712879415692$	$C_{27} = 0.00324664320823834275$	$C_{50} = 0.00333483860682981228$	$C_{73} = 0.00333330731280618138$
$C_5 = 0.00471527133757507641$	$C_{28} = 0.00340610011591843955$	$C_{51} = 0.00333207152369661671$	$C_{74} = 0.00333335514527654166$
$C_6 = 0.00174497854628782157$	$C_{29} = 0.00327227842278880512$	$C_{52} = 0.00333439105698931688$	$C_{75} = 0.00333331504927383940$
$C_7 = 0.00485675294324682823$	$C_{30} = 0.00338454689233366739$	$C_{53} = 0.00333244668671512832$	$C_{76} = 0.00333334866011226255$
$C_8 = 0.00212747598467839435$	$C_{31} = 0.00329038332124877627$	$C_{54} = 0.00333407657300585164$	$C_{77} = 0.00333332048552169609$
$C_9 = 0.00408453051443764890$	$C_{32} = 0.00336934817236508896$	$C_{55} = 0.00333271030587360587$	$C_{78} = 0.00333334410312812365$
$C_{10} = 0.00303849317411607795$	$C_{33} = 0.00330313676378294222$	$C_{56} = 0.00333385559179154898$	$C_{79} = 0.00333332430545503779$
$C_{11} = 0.00326150825980108299$	$C_{34} = 0.00335864987188439309$	$C_{57} = 0.00333289554541894104$	$C_{80} = 0.00333334090103430680$
$C_{12} = 0.00305086987677036012$	$C_{35} = 0.00331210917522999367$	$C_{58} = 0.00333370031303950707$	$C_{81} = 0.00333332698963926159$
$C_{13} = 0.00288027997265296082$	$C_{36} = 0.00335112604866268579$	$C_{59} = 0.00333302570925529457$	$C_{82} = 0.00333333865099250313$
$C_{14} = 0.00383972630105596425$	$C_{37} = 0.00331841762354822903$	$C_{60} = 0.00333359120201437040$	$C_{83} = 0.00333332887575723863$
$C_{15} = 0.0028269463373975373$	$C_{38} = 0.00334583703432045650$	$C_{61} = 0.00333311717256726671$	$C_{84} = 0.00333333706939697896$
$C_{16} = 0.00380915983064439615$	$C_{39} = 0.00332285171576454862$	$C_{62} = 0.00333351453205997142$	$C_{85} = 0.00333333020109139106$
$C_{17} = 0.00290295426940039930$	$C_{40} = 0.00334211980668418472$	$C_{63} = 0.00333318144185430803$	$C_{86} = 0.00333333595896358890$
$C_{18} = 0.00371316819510743415$	$C_{41} = 0.00332596789711823063$	$C_{64} = 0.00333346065774556324$	$C_{87} = 0.00333333113237492521$
$C_{19} = 0.00300351110208944757$	$C_{42} = 0.00333950753291683119$	$C_{65} = 0.00333322660248814852$	$C_{88} = 0.00333333517830670844$
$C_{20} = 0.00361660703987917620$	$C_{43} = 0.00332815772174759486$	$C_{66} = 0.00333342280143380223$	
$C_{21} = 0.00309185019337987811$	$C_{44} = 0.00333767185593130795$	$C_{67} = 0.00333325833588546756$	
$C_{22} = 0.00353813630227038258$	$C_{45} = 0.00332969651611532286$	$C_{68} = 0.00333339620062519364$	

Table 14. The optimal coefficients for $m = 15$

$C_0 = 0.00172702945455083193$	$C_{26} = 0.00591821410669587006$	$C_{52} = 0.00336928787611088775$	$C_{78} = 0.00333383067161036060$
$C_1 = 0.00335196263611974402$	$C_{27} = 0.00113739105014957971$	$C_{53} = 0.00330283683648456223$	$C_{79} = 0.00333291149314649690$
$C_2 = 0.00306452563504523993$	$C_{28} = 0.00519802682335201819$	$C_{54} = 0.00335920033583227050$	$C_{80} = 0.00333369113636488924$
$C_3 = 0.00382735744574236094$	$C_{29} = 0.00175042759986189166$	$C_{55} = 0.00331139305001559083$	$C_{81} = 0.00333302984634137357$
$C_4 = 0.00272471104016856072$	$C_{30} = 0.00467672632259750788$	$C_{56} = 0.00335194298912504867$	$C_{82} = 0.00333359074969419812$
$C_5 = 0.00362523740203732478$	$C_{31} = 0.00219339925074939015$	$C_{57} = 0.00331754870221566789$	$C_{83} = 0.00333311499388354665$
$C_6 = 0.00416828584678143709$	$C_{32} = 0.00430050875356561753$	$C_{58} = 0.00334672179022929618$	$C_{84} = 0.00333351852791527129$
$C_7 = 0.00038025393759564147$	$C_{33} = 0.00251280401810681106$	$C_{59} = 0.00332197730137501015$	$C_{85} = 0.00333317625208569821$
$C_8 = 0.00918605541537786417$	$C_{34} = 0.00402940935773975411$	$C_{60} = 0.00334296547103844503$	$C_{86} = 0.00333346656897177222$
$C_9 = -0.0056482216521837456$	$C_{35} = 0.00274285983082156931$	$C_{61} = 0.00332516339541746467$	$C_{87} = 0.00333322032343801599$
$C_{10} = 0.01503979792439844429$	$C_{36} = 0.00383420982729031424$	$C_{62} = 0.00334026303961781421$	$C_{88} = 0.00333342918784036036$
$C_{11} = -0.0102513216994999018$	$C_{37} = 0.00290846808379631022$	$C_{63} = 0.00332745558621977111$	$C_{89} = 0.00333325202995283771$
$C_{12} = 0.01780870154874396918$	$C_{38} = 0.00369371677971561177$	$C_{64} = 0.00333831881318149643$	$C_{90} = 0.00333340229451091797$
$C_{13} = -0.0111516599198095529$	$C_{39} = 0.00302764878602438014$	$C_{65} = 0.00332910467075450735$	$C_{91} = 0.00333327484075914716$
$C_{14} = 0.01717584313564491950$	$C_{40} = 0.00359261903731864099$	$C_{66} = 0.00333692006675210665$	$C_{92} = 0.00333338294648316812$
$C_{15} = -0.0094596822947696162$	$C_{41} = 0.00311340503994861123$	$C_{67} = 0.00333029108147133945$	$C_{93} = 0.00333329125167301758$
$C_{16} = 0.01487372890505018792$	$C_{42} = 0.0035198775566928677$	$C_{68} = 0.00333591375827837438$	$C_{94} = 0.00333336902681665769$
$C_{17} = -0.0068972585187668098$	$C_{43} = 0.00317510611508734637$	$C_{69} = 0.00333114462799414914$	$C_{95} = 0.00333330305827423077$

Table 14. Continuation

$C_{18} = 0.01228998089227584958$	$C_{44} = 0.00346754171514042525$	$C_{70} = 0.00333518978378703653$	$C_{96} = 0.00333335901250834411$
$C_{19} = -0.0044379368869592209$	$C_{45} = 0.00321949791378515819$	$C_{71} = 0.00333175870004468364$	$C_{97} = 0.00333331155236741126$
$C_{20} = 0.01003287196894858974$	$C_{46} = 0.00342988831851899784$	$C_{72} = 0.00333466893051466329$	$C_{98} = 0.00333335180785510696$
$C_{21} = -0.0024157213542609094$	$C_{47} = 0.00325143564488660772$	$C_{73} = 0.00333220048555005048$	$C_{99} = 0.00333331766332322668$
$C_{22} = 0.00825049420457872496$	$C_{48} = 0.00340279870089829129$	$C_{74} = 0.00333429420994935355$	$C_{100} = 0.0033333466245687503$
$C_{23} = -0.0008623772730946671$	$C_{49} = 0.00327441304627985970$	$C_{75} = 0.00333251832192158444$	$C_{101} = 0.0033333220597643263$
$C_{24} = 0.00690738675157999725$	$C_{50} = 0.003383309304493717340$	$C_{76} = 0.00333402462250633817$	
$C_{25} = 0.00029252137237138985$	$C_{51} = 0.00329094390700659850$	$C_{77} = 0.00333274698483095824$	

The tables 1-13 confirm positiveness of the optimal coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas of the form (5.2) with the nodes (5.1) when $\eta_0 = 0, 205$.

5.2 Comparison some of the results with well known formulas

In this section we compare some of the results of this work with the example (e) of [12]. From the example (e) of [12] when $[a, b] = [0, 1]$ and for the equidistant nodes

$$x_\beta = h\beta, \quad \beta = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad h = \frac{1}{N}$$

of the interval $[0, 1]$ we obtain following particular formulas which were already obtained by Sard in [14, 18].

If $N = 2$ the optimal quadrature formula of the type (1.1) is

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx = \frac{1}{16} [3\varphi(0) + 10\varphi(1/2) + 3\varphi(1)] + R[\varphi] \quad (5.7)$$

and for the remainder we have following estimate

$$|R[\varphi]| \leq \|\varphi|L_2^{(2)}(0, 1)\| \cdot 0.01398. \quad (5.8)$$

For $N = 3$ the optimal quadrature formula is

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx = \frac{1}{30} [4\varphi(0) + 11\varphi(1/3) + 11\varphi(2/3) + 4\varphi(1)] + R[\varphi] \quad (5.9)$$

and for the remainder $R[\varphi]$ following estimate is valid

$$|R[\varphi]| \leq \|\varphi|L_2^{(2)}(0, 1)\| \cdot 0.00586. \quad (5.10)$$

When $N = 4$ the optimal quadrature formula is

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x)dx = \frac{1}{112} [11\varphi(0) + 32\varphi(1/4) + 26\varphi(1/2) + 32\varphi(3/4) + 11\varphi(1)] + R[\varphi] \quad (5.11)$$

and for the remainder $R[\varphi]$ we get

$$|R[\varphi]| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L_2^{(2)}(0,1)} \cdot 0.00305. \quad (5.12)$$

For $N = 5$ we obtain following optimal formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \varphi(x)dx = \frac{1}{190} [15\varphi(0) + 43\varphi(1/5) + 37\varphi(2/5) + \\ + 37\varphi(3/5) + 43\varphi(4/5) + 15\varphi(1)] + R[\varphi] \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

with

$$|R[\varphi]| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L_2^{(2)}(0,1)} \cdot 0.00188. \quad (5.14)$$

Now we consider the quadrature formulas of the form (5.2).

From (5.2), (5.4), (5.5), (5.6) when $m = 2$ and $\eta_0 = 0$ for $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$ we get the optimal quadrature formulas (5.7)-(5.14), respectively.

From (5.2), (5.4), (5.5), (5.6) for $m = 2$ and $\eta_0 = 0.205$ when $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$ we get following optimal quadrature formulas.

For $N = 2$ the optimal quadrature formula is

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x)dx = C_0\varphi(\eta_0h) + C_1\varphi(1/2) + C_2\varphi(1 - \eta_0h) + R[\varphi], \quad (5.15)$$

where

$$C_0 = 0.27075812274368231046,$$

$$C_1 = 0.45848375451263537906,$$

$$C_2 = 0.27075812274368231046$$

and for the remainder $R[\varphi]$ following is valid

$$|R[\varphi]| = |(\ell, \varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L_2^{(2)}(0,1)} \cdot 0.00694814. \quad (5.16)$$

For $N = 3$ the optimal quadrature formula is

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx = C_0 \varphi(\eta_0 h) + C_1 \varphi(1/3) + C_2 \varphi(2/3) + C_3 \varphi(1 - \eta_0 h) + R[\varphi], \quad (5.17)$$

where

$$C_0 = 0.17683465959328028293,$$

$$C_1 = 0.32316534040671971706,$$

$$C_2 = 0.32316534040671971706,$$

$$C_3 = 0.17683465959328028293$$

and

$$|R[\varphi]| = |(\ell, \varphi)| \leq \|\varphi|L_2^{(2)}(0, 1)\|_2 \cdot 0.00340515. \quad (5.18)$$

For $N = 4$ the optimal formula is

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx = C_0 \varphi(\eta_0 h) + C_1 \varphi(1/4) + C_2 \varphi(1/2) + C_3 \varphi(3/4) + C_4 \varphi(1 - \eta_0 h) + R[\varphi], \quad (5.19)$$

where

$$C_0 = 0.13336566440349175557,$$

$$C_1 = 0.23884578079534432589,$$

$$C_2 = 0.25557710960232783705,$$

$$C_3 = 0.23884578079534432589,$$

$$C_4 = 0.13336566440349175557$$

and

$$|R[\varphi]| = |(\ell, \varphi)| \leq \|\varphi|L_2^{(2)}(0, 1)\|_2 \cdot 0.0020343. \quad (5.20)$$

For $N = 5$ the optimal quadrature formula is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx = & C_0 \varphi(\eta_0 h) + C_1 \varphi(1/5) + C_2 \varphi(2/5) + C_3 \varphi(3/5) + \\ & + C_4 \varphi(4/5) + C_5 \varphi(1 - \eta_0 h) + R[\varphi], \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

$$C_0 = 0.106534090909090909,$$

$$C_1 = 0.191832386363636363,$$

$$C_2 = 0.201633522727272727,$$

$$C_3 = 0.201633522727272727,$$

$$C_4 = 0.191832386363636363,$$

$$C_5 = 0.106534090909090909$$

and for the remainder following estimate is valid

$$|R[\varphi]| = |(\ell, \varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L_2^{(2)}(0,1)} \cdot 0.0013408. \quad (5.22)$$

Thus, hence clear that the errors (5.16), (5.18), (5.20), (5.22) of the quadrature formulas (5.15), (5.17), (5.19), (5.21) are less than the errors (5.8), (5.10), (5.12), (5.14) of the quadrature formulas (5.7), (5.9), (5.11), (5.13), respectively.

6 Acknowledgments

The authors very thankful to professor G.V.Milovanovic for discussion of the results and for some bibliographic references.

References

- [1] Ivo Babuška. Optimal quadrature formulas. Dokladi Akadem. Nauk SSSR. 1963. Vol.149. No.2. pp. 227-229. (in Russian)
- [2] P.Blaga and Gh.Coman. Some problems on optimal quadrature. Studia Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai", Mathematica, Vol.LII, No.4, December 2007. pp.21-44.
- [3] B.Bojanov. Optimal quadrature formulas. Uspekhi Matemat. nauk. V.60, 6(366), 2005, pp. 33-52. (in Russian)
- [4] T.Catinaş and Gh.Coman. Optimal quadrature formulas based on the φ -function method. Studia Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai", Mathematica, Vol.LII, No.6, January 2005. pp. 1-16.

- [5] M.A.Chakhkiev. Linear differential operators with real spectrum and optimal quadrature formulas. Izvestiya of AS of USSR, V48, No5, 1984, pp. 1078-1108. (in Russian)
- [6] Gh.Coman. Formule de cuadrature de tip Sard. Studia Univ. "Babeş- Bolyai". Ser. math.-mech., 17. No.2. - 1972. - pp. 73-77.,
- [7] Gh.Coman. Monosplines and optimal quadrature Formule in L_p . Rendiconti di Matematica. 5. (1972). - No.3. -pp. 567-577.
- [8] A.O.Gelfond. Calculus of finite differences. -Moscow, Nauka. 1967. 376 p. (in Russian)
- [9] R.W.Hamming. Numerical Methods for Scientists and Engineers. NY, McGraw Bill Book Company, Inc, USA. 1962. 411p.
- [10] A.Ghizzetti, A.Ossicini. Quadrature Formulae, Berlin. Akademie Verlag, 1970.
- [11] P.Köhler. On the Weights of Sard's Quadrature Formulas. (1988)
- [12] Flavia Lanzara. On Optimal Quadrature Formulae. J. of Inequality & Appl., 2000, Vol.5, pp.201-225.
- [13] A.A.Malukov, I.I.Orlov. Construction of the coefficients of the best quadrature formula for class of equal spaced nodes. Applied mathematics. -Irkutsk, 1976. -P. 174-177. (in Russian)
- [14] L.F.Meyers, A.Sard. Best approximate integration formulas. // J. Math and Phys. - 1950. XXIX. pp. 118-123.
- [15] S.M.Nikolskii. To question about estimation of approximation by quadrature formulas.- Uspekhi Matem. Nauk, 1950, 5:2 (36), pp. 165-177. (in Russian)
- [16] S.M.Nikolskii. Quadrature formulas. -M.: Nauka, 1988 (in Russian)
- [17] A.Sard. Best approximate integration formulas, best approximate formulas. // American J. of Math. 1949. LXXI. pp. 80-91.
- [18] A.Sard. Linear Approximation. AMS. 1963.

- [19] Kh.M.Shadimetov. Optimal quadrature formulas in the $L_2^{(m)}(\Omega)$ and $L_2^{(m)}(R^1)$, Dokl. Akademii Nauk UzSSR, No3, (1983), pp.5-8. (in Russian)
- [20] Kh.M.Shadimetov. Optimal formulas of approximate integration for differentiable functions. Candidate dissertation. – Novosibirsk, 1983. 140 p. (in Russian)
- [21] Kh.M.Shadimetov. Construction of weight optimal quadrature formulas in the space $L_2^{(m)}(0, N)$, Siberian Journal of Computational Mathematics, V.5, No3, (2002), pp.275-293. (in Russian)
- [22] Kh.M.Shadimetov, A.R.Hayotov. Computation of coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas in the space $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0, 1)$. Uzbek Math. Journal. 2004, No3. p. 67-82. (in Russian)
- [23] I.J.Schoenberg. On Monosplines of Least Deviation and Best Quadrature Formulae. Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics: Series B. Numerical Analysis, Vol.2, No.1, (1965), pp.144-170.
- [24] I.J.Schoenberg. On Monosplines of Least Square Deviation and Best Quadrature Formulae II. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, Vol.3, No.2, (1966), pp.321-328.
- [25] I.J.Schoenberg and S.D.Silliman. On semicardinal quadrature formulae. // Math. Comp., 1974. v. 126. pp. 483-497.
- [26] S.L.Sobolev. The coefficients of optimal quadrature formulas, Selected Works of S.L.Sobolev. Springer, (2006). pp.561-566.
- [27] S.L.Sobolev. On the roots of Euler polynomials. Selected Works of S.L.Sobolev. Springer, (2006). pp.567-572.
- [28] S.L.Sobolev. Introduction to the theory of cubature formulas. -M.:Nauka, 1974, 808 p. (in Russian)
- [29] S.L.Sobolev, V.L.Vaskevich. The Theory of Cubature Formulas, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, (1997). 416 p.

- [30] F.Ya.Zagirova. On construction of optimal quadrature formulas with equal spaced nodes.- Novosibirsk, (1982), 28 p. (Preprint No 25, Institute of Mathematics SD of AS of USSR). (in Russian)
- [31] Z.Zh.Zhamalov, Kh.M.Shadimetov. About optimal quadrature formulas. Dokl. Akademii Nauk UzSSR, No7, (1980), pp.3-5. (in Russian)
- [32] A.A.Zhensikbaev. Monosplines of minimal norm and the best quadrature formulas. - Uspekhi Matem. nauk. Vol.36, 4, 1981, pp. 107-159. (in Russian)

Kh.M.Shadimetov, A.R.Hayotov*

*Institute of Mathematics and Information Technologies,
Uzbek Academy of Sciences,*

e-mail*: hayotov@mail.ru, abdullo_hayotov@mail.ru